

ORIGINALLY FILED

Art Unit:

Date:

10205.020 **PATENT**

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

2644

Thomasson

Examiner: |. Harold

Serial No.: 09/476,468

July 12, 2002

Filed:

December 30, 1999

For:

Band-by-Band Full Duplex Communication

RECEIVED

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING DEPOSITED WITH THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE AS EXPRESS

JUL 2 2 2002

MAIL IN AN ENVELOPE ADDRESSED TO:

Technology Center 2600

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS:

Box Non-Fee Amendment

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231, ON:

JULY 12, 2002

Date of Deposit

7.12.02

RESPONSE

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks.

Washington, D.C. 20231

SIR:

In response to the Office Action dated April 12, 2002, the following remarks are presented.

REMARKS

Reconsideration of the above-identified application is respectfully requested.

Claim 1 was rejected as anticipated by Genter. In support of the rejection, the Examiner asserts that "non-linear processor (36a-p), which reads on claimed 'multiplex circuit'." (Disclosures do not read on claims. Claims may or may not read on a disclosure. The Examiner's phraseology is backwards.)

Claim 11 was rejected as unpatentable over Genter in view of McCaslin et al. The Examiner relies on non-linear processor (36a-p) in support of the rejection.